

strong defense, just as much as we have an obligation to provide a strong educational system.

It is no less of a responsibility to take a look at our future energy picture than it is to take a look at education or health care or any other issue you want to talk about for future generations. We have that opportunity today.

So I would urge my colleagues that, even while the price of energy is dropping, we have an obligation to continue to urge people to conserve. We have an obligation to continue to try and assist our colleagues in California and every other State in this country, to say just because energy has become more affordable does not mean that our energy crunch does not still exist.

We have got to plan for the future. We had that opportunity today in our hands. Now it is going to require leadership. It is going to require an energy policy which we have not seen for 8 years.

We have got a President. We have got an administrative team and many of my colleagues on both sides of the aisle that are prepared to put together an energy policy. That debate has already begun. Now we need to take it to its logical conclusion, and that is to come up with a policy for this generation and future generations of this country in regards to energy.

REMOVAL OF NAME OF MEMBER AS COSPONSOR OF H.R. 933

Mr. JEFFERSON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that my name be removed as a cosponsor from H.R. 933.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. KENNEDY of Minnesota). Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Louisiana?

There was no objection.

DIGITAL DIVIDE ELIMINATION ACT OF 2001

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. JEFFERSON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. JEFFERSON. Mr. Speaker, I am here today to discuss the digital divide that is plaguing our country and to garner support for legislation my colleagues and I have introduced to help alleviate this crisis, H.R. 2281, The Digital Divide Elimination Act of 2001.

Computers are becoming the crucial link to education, information, and to commerce. For all Americans, personal and economic success will depend on having the ability to understand and use these powerful information tools. However, according to the Department of Commerce, less than 10 percent of households with income below \$20,000 own computers or have used the Internet, an absolutely alarming statistic. Unless this changes, these poor families in both urban and rural areas will be left behind.

Educators and industry leaders alike realize a serious problem associated

with the digital divide and are taking steps to bring computer technology to schools and libraries across America. We, as public officials, applaud these efforts. However, these efforts are not enough.

If we are going to truly give every American access to technology and improve the way our children learn, the Federal Government must join in to bolster these efforts and, more importantly, to help extend technology and technology access to every home in America. Only then will these children and their families gain an appreciation for technology and the Internet in the home, unfettered by the constraints of an institutional setting.

The legislation my colleagues and I have reintroduced this year provides additional tax incentives to induce private companies to donate computer technology and to induce poor families to purchase computers.

First, the legislation increases the special deduction for computer donations from three-fourths of the computer's sales price to the higher of the full sales price or its manufacturing cost. For example, if the manufacturing cost of a computer is \$500 and the sales price is \$1,000, the charitable deduction is increased from \$750 to \$1,000.

The special deductions for computers has already induced computer manufacturers to donate thousands of computers to schools across America. Now, as a result of this provision, computer manufacturers will have an even greater incentive to donate unsold computers because they can deduct the full value of the computer.

In addition, non-manufacturers will also have a greater incentive to donate computer equipment even where the depreciated cost of the computer exceeds its market price. Under current law, it is more economical for many non-manufacturers to throw away used computers than to donate them to charity because they can take a higher tax deduction for disposing of the computer than for donating it. That is clearly bad tax policy. Thankfully, this provision will change that result.

Second, the legislation will extend the special computer deduction through 2004 and expand it to include donations, not only to libraries and training centers, but also to nonprofits that provide computer technology to poor families. Nonprofits such as Computers for Youth in New York City have placed computers into the homes of hundreds of low-income families. We need to encourage similar efforts by nonprofits across the country. Only then can we make our mutual goal of bringing technology into every home in America a reality.

Finally, the legislation will provide a refundable credit equal to 50 percent of the cost for computer purchases by families receiving the earned income tax credit up to \$500. While the cost of computers and Internet access are dropping, the cost of computers still

remains a barrier for many low-income working families. Returning half of the cost of the computers to these families will go a long way towards helping working families help themselves and provide a brighter future for their children.

□ 2015

In fact, the \$500 refundable tax credit makes computers more affordable than ever for the working poor. Here is an example. In the June 17 edition of *The Washington Post*, which I have an example of here, Circuit City advertized a Pentium II computer for \$1,099. The price is slashed by the manufacturer and retail rebates to \$499. With this \$500 tax credit, the actual cost of that computer would be reduced to nothing, a free computer to a poor family. Computer companies and retailers will get business from a segment of the population that did not have affordable access before, and the working poor will receive affordable access. It is a win-win situation.

Mr. Speaker, bringing technology to all our children is key to our Nation's future and prosperity. I implore my colleagues to recognize the long-term negative impact that could result from not eliminating the digital divide and urge their support of this legislation. Together, we can ensure a much brighter tomorrow for our children and give them the tools necessary to compete and lead the next generation to an even brighter future.

HMO REFORM

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. MCINNIS). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2001, the gentlewoman from California (Mrs. CAPPS) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

Mrs. CAPPS. Mr. Speaker, I rise this evening to speak about the need for a strong and enforceable patient's bill of rights for the American people.

I am one of three nurses currently serving in the House of Representatives, and there are other health professionals of all stripes among my colleagues, from doctors to public health specialists and microbiologist, from psychologists and social workers to psychiatrists. Together, in all of our experience and training, we know that we need to pass a real patient's bill of rights, a bill of rights that offers the American people real protection from the hard edges of managed care organizations or HMOs.

Tonight we are going to share with our colleagues our firsthand experiences and make the case for the Ganske-Dingell bill. We have seen firsthand the damage caused by the excesses of the bean counters and the men in green eyeshades when they are too aggressive in containing costs. These bureaucrats have often done real harm to real people when they have taken on the role of medical professionals. Those of us here in Congress